

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/230,137	08/10/1999	ROBERT WILLIAM CUNNINGHAM	23861-001	4790
7	590 03/11/2004		EXAMINER	
DAVID A JACKSON			CROSS, LATOYA I	
	UBER & JACKSON HACKENSACK AVENUE  ART UNIT PAPER NUM		PAPER NUMBER	
HACKENSAC			1743 DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/230,137	CUNNINGHAM					
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	LaToya I. Cross	1743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 11 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Se	ee MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (	ension fee under 2) as set forth in				
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	,				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	·						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)□ will not be entered or to could be rejected is provided be	o)⊠ will be entered a low or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows							
Claim(s) allowed: none.		. •					
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25 and 27-31</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.							
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	,				
10. Other:							
	•						

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/230,137

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that Charlton fails to teach a throughbore passing entirely through the substrate. Applicants contend that the throughbore of Charlton does not pass through layer (20). The Examiner would like to point out that the claims recite that the throughbore passes entirely through the substrate, which has supportive material mounted thereon. In Charlton, the substrate is considered to be layer (12) and has a supportive material (layers 14, 16 and 18) mounted to it. Both layers 14 and 18 have guides as recited in claim 1. A throughbore passes through both the substrate and the supportive layer having the guide. Applicants are correct in noting that the throughbore of Charlton does not pass through window layer (20). However, the claims require that the throughbore merely pass through the substrate and supporting layers having a guide. The claims do not exclude an additional layer with no throughbore, such as window layer (20).

/ Dili Warden Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700